| I. Permittee Information   |   |
|--|---|
| Permittee Name<br>City of Auburn                                 | Permittee Coverage Number<br>WAR04-5502   |
| Contact Name<br>Chris Thorn                                      | Phone Number<br>253 804 5065  |
| <b>Mailing Address</b><br>25 West Main                           |   |
| City<br>Auburn   | State Zip + 4<br>98001 -4998  |
| Email Adddress<br>cthorn@auburnwa.gov                            |   |
| II. Regulated Small MS4 Location                                 |   |
| Jurisdiction City of Auburn                                      | Entity Type: Check the box that applies County City/Town Other X  |
| Major Receiving Water(s)<br>White River, Green River, Mill Creek |   |
| III. Relying on another Gove                                     | rnmental Entity   |
| permit obligations, list the entity a                            | ernmental entity to satisfy one or more of the and briefly describe the permit obligation(s) they below. Attach a copy of your agreement with the detail. |
| Name of Entity:  | Permit Obligation(s):   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |

### IV. Certification

All annual reports must be signed and certified by the responsible official(s) of permittee or copermittees. Please print and sign this page of the reporting form and mail it (with an original signature) to Ecology at the address noted below. An electronic signature will not suffice.

| supervision in accordance<br>evaluated the information<br>those persons directly resp<br>knowledge and belief, tru | e with a system designed to assure the submitted. Based on my inquiry or ponsible for gathering information, | chments were prepared under my direction or that Qualified Personnel properly gathered and if the person or persons who manage the system or the information submitted is, to the best of my are that there are significant penalties for submitting onment for willful violations. |
|--|--|---|
| Name   | Title  | Date  |

PLEASE indicate reporting year and your jurisdiction in Line 1, above.

PLEASE refer to the INSTRUCTIONS tab for assistance filling out this table.

NOTE: For clarification on how to answer questions, place cursor over cells with red flags. NOTE: Please answer all questions.

PLEASE review your work for completeness and accuracy. Save this worksheet as you go!

| Que         | Question   | N AN    | #                  | Comments (50 word limit)                | Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u> |
|-------------|--|---------|--------------------|---|---|
| -<br>-      | Attached annual written update of Permittee's Stormwater Management Program (SWMP) | $\prec$ |                    |   | -   |
|             | including applicable requirements under S5.A.2 and S9?                             |         | Section<br>Section |   | ·   |
| 2.          | Attached a copy of any annexations, incorporations or boundary changes resulting   | AN      |                    |   | - I Applications                                  |
|             | in an increase or decrease in the Permittee's                                      | -       |                    |   | -   |
|             | geographic area of permit coverage during the                                      |         |                    |   |   |
|             | reporting period, and implications for the SWMP as per S9.E.3?                     |         |                    |   |   |
| ίΩ          | Implemented an ongoing program for   | ~       |                    |   |   |
| <del></del> | gathering, tracking, maintaining, and using  |         |                    |   |   |
|             | information to evaluate SWMP development,  |         |                    |   |   |
|             | implementation and permit compliance and to set priorities? (S5.A.3)               |         |                    |   |   |
| 4.          | Began tracking costs or estimated costs of the                                     | ~       |                    | *************************************** |   |
|             | development and implementation of the  |         |                    |   |   |
|             | SWMP? (Required no later than January 1, 2009, \$5.A.3.a)                          |         |                    |   |   |
|             |  |         |                    |   |   |

| Que | Question   | N/N/ | # | Comments (50 word limit)   | Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable      |
|-----|--|------|---|--|---|
| iv  | SWMP includes an education program aimed at residents, businesses, industries, elected officials, policy makers, planning staff and other employees of the Permittee? ( <i>Required to begin</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1) | ~    |   |  |   |
| 6.  | Distributed appropriate information to target audiences identified in the area served by the MS4? ( <i>Required to begin</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1.a)   | ~    |   |  |   |
| 7.  | Tracked the types of public education and outreach activities implemented. ( <i>Required to begin</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1.c)  | ~    |   |  | City of Auburn 2011 Public<br>Education Summary |
| 7b. | Number of activities implemented:  |      | 9 | TO THE TAX ASSESSMENT OF T |   |
| œ   | Measured the understanding and adoption of the targeted behaviors among at least one targeted audience in at least one subject area. (Required to begin by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1.b)  | ~    |   |  |   |
| 9.  | Provided opportunities for the public to participate in the decision making processes involving the development, implementation and updates of the Permittee's SWMP? (Required by February 15, 2008, S5.C.2.a)                 | ~    |   |  |   |
| 10. | Developed and implemented a process for public involvement and consideration of public comments on the SWMP? ( <i>Required</i> by February 15, 2008, S5.C.2.a)   | ~    |   |  |   |

| Que  | Question  | AN<br>//N/ | #   | Comments (50 word limit)   | Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable   |
|------|---|------------|-----|--|--|
| 11.  | Made the most current version of the SWMP   | Υ          |     |  |  |
| 12   | Posted the SWMP and latest annual report on   | ≺          |     |  |  |
|      | your website. (S5.C.2.b)  |            |     |  | -  |
| 12b. | NOTE website address in Attachment field:   |            |     |  | www.auburnwa.gov/community/utilitie  |
| 13.  | Initiated or implemented an ongoing program   | ヾ          |     |  |  |
|      | to detect and remove illicit connections and  |            |     |  |  |
| ,,,  | illegal discharges into the Permittee's MS4?  |            |     |  |  |
|      | (Required August 19, 2011, S5.C.3)  |            | 101 |  |  |
| 14.  | Developed and currently maintain a map of   | ~          |     | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O |  |
|      | your MS4? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2011, S5.C.3.a)                         |            |     |  |  |
| 145, | nap of all connections to the MS4 authorized  | Υ          |     |  |  |
|      | or allowed by the Permittee after the Permit  |            |     |  |  |
| •    | effective date? (S5.C.3.a.n)  |            |     |  |  |
| 15.  | Map shows the location of all known   | ~          |     |  | i Pirante aggir Arian con anno anno anno anno anno anno ann  |
|      | municipal separate storm sewer outfalls, receiving waters and structural stormwater |            |     |  |  |
|      | BMPs owned, operated, or maintained by the  |            |     |  |  |
|      | Permittee? (Required by February 16, 2011, S5.C.3.a.i)                              |            |     |  |  |
| 16.  |   | ~          |     | 7000   | -  |
|      | equivalent cross-sectional area for non-pipe  |            |     |  | . • .  |
|      | systems and includes tributary conveyances,   |            |     |  |  |
|      | (Required by February 16, 2011, S5.C.3.a.i)   |            |     |  | -  |
|      |   |            |     |  | The state of the s |

| ဝ           | Question  | INIA   | #                          | Comments (50 word limit)   | AIL ALL IL O   |
|-------------|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|
|             |   | N :  | :                          |  | Page #, <u>if applicable</u>   |
| 17.         | Map shows geographic areas served by the Permittee's MS4 that do not discharge                | ۲  |                            |  |  |
|             | stormwater to surface waters? (Required by  | Diskus state                                       |                            |  |  |
|             | February 16, 2011, S5.C.3.a.iii)  | W. 3.5   |                            |  |  |
| 18.         |   | Υ  |                            |  |  |
| 5           | ┸   | Out  |                            |  |  |
| 19.         |   | ~  |                            |  | -  |
|             | meressary to effectively prombit non-   | o subsects   | 20<br>20<br>20<br>20<br>20 |  |  |
| <del></del> | Stormwater, illicit discharges into the   |  |                            |  |  |
|             | reminee s m34' ( <i>kequirea</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.3.b)                                | No. Control Control                                | 1867<br>35.                |  |  |
| 20.         | Developed and implemented an ongoing  | ~  |                            | - The state of the | The state of the s |
|             | program to detect and address non-stormwater  | Section 2  |                            |  |  |
|             | illicit discharges, including spills, and illicit   |  |                            |  |  |
|             | connections into the Permittee's MS4?   | 22.02 \$40.  |                            |  |  |
|             | (Required by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c)   |  |                            |  |  |
| 21.         | Developed procedures for locating priority areas likely to have illicit discharges, including | ~  |                            | The first section of the section of  |  |
|             | at a minimum: evaluating land uses and  |  |                            |  |  |
| ····        | present; areas where complaints have been   | a a referência a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a |                            |  |  |
|             | registered in the past; and areas with storage of   |  |                            |  |  |
|             | large quantities of materials that could result in  |  |                            |  |  |
|             | illicit discharges, including spills? (Required by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.i)               |  |                            |  |  |
|             |   |  |                            |  |  |

| Que      | Question   | N<br>N           | ** | Comments (50 word limit) | Name of Attachment &   |
|----------|--|------------------|----|--------------------------|--|
|          |  | NA               |    |                          | Page #, if applicable  |
| 22.      | Implemented field assessment activities,         | ~                |    |                          |  |
|          | including visual inspection of priority outfalls |                  |    |                          |  |
|          | identified during dry weather, and for the       | ake widde        |    |                          |  |
|          | purposes of verifying outfall locations,         |                  |    |                          |  |
|          | identifying previously unknown outfalls, and     | Sea bine.        |    |                          | ,  |
|          | detecting illicit discharges. (Required by       | acastora Taporto |    |                          | -  |
|          |  |                  |    |                          | ·  |
| 23.      | Prioritized receiving waters for visual          | <b>~</b>         |    |                          |  |
|          | inspection? (Required by February 16, 2010,      |                  |    |                          |  |
| <u> </u> | 85.C.3.c.n)                                      |                  |    |                          |  |
| 24.      | Conducted field assessments for three high       | ~                |    |                          | ,  |
|          | priority water bodies? (Required by February     | and tool o       |    |                          |  |
|          | 16, 2011, S5.C.3.c.n)                            |                  |    |                          |  |
| 25       | Conducted field assessments on at least one      | ~<               |    |                          | , and the second |
|          | high priority water body? (Required annually     |                  |    |                          |  |
|          | after February 16, 2011, S5.C.3.c.ii)            |                  |    |                          |  |
| 26.      | Developed and implemented procedures for         | ~                |    |                          | -  |
|          | characterizing the nature of, and potential      |                  |    |                          |  |
|          | public or environmental threat posed by, any     |                  |    |                          |  |
|          | illicit discharges found by or reported to the   |                  |    |                          |  |
|          | Permittee? (Required by August 19, 2011,         |                  |    |                          |  |
|          | S5.C.3.c.iii)                                    |                  |    |                          |  |
| 27.      | Developed and implemented procedures for         | ~                |    |                          |  |
|          | including visual inspections, and when           |                  |    |                          | •,   |
|          | necessary, opening manholes, using mobile        |                  |    |                          | :  |
|          | cameras, collecting and analyzing water          |                  |    |                          |  |
|          | samples, and/or other detailed inspection        |                  |    |                          | :  |
|          | procedures? (Required by August 19, 2011,        |                  |    |                          | ·  |
|          | S5.C.3.c.iv)                                     |                  |    |                          |  |
|          |  |                  |    | -                        |  |

| Que  | Question  | N AN                                   | #  | Comments (50 word limit) | Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable   |
|------|---|--|----|--------------------------|--|
| 28.  | Developed and implemented procedures for removing the source of the discharge | Υ                                      |    |                          |  |
|      | including notification of appropriate   | New Colons                             |    |                          |  |
|      | authorities; notification of the property owner;                              | No section (No section)                |    |                          |  |
|      | discharge following inspections: and  | ones nel a po                          |    |                          |  |
| -    | escalating enforcement and legal actions if the                               | Salatoko (                             |    |                          |  |
|      | discharge is not eliminated? (Required by                                     |  |    |                          |  |
|      | August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.v.)   | 200                                    |    |                          |  |
| 29.  | Informed public employees, businesses, and                                    | ~                                      |    |                          |  |
|      | the general public of hazards associated with                                 | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e  |    |                          |  |
|      | illegal discharges and improper disposal of                                   |  |    |                          |  |
|      | waste: (kequirea by August 19, 2011,  | 0.7555,835                             |    |                          |  |
| 30.  | Distributed appropriate information to target                                 | ~                                      |    |                          |  |
|      | audiences identified pursuant to S5.C.1?                                      | aris inteste                           |    |                          |  |
|      | (Required by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.d.i)                                     | ······································ |    |                          |  |
| 31.  | Publicized a hotline or other local telephone                                 | ~                                      |    |                          | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O |
|      | number for public reporting of spills and other                               | Name of Control                        |    |                          |  |
|      | illicit discharges? (Required by February 15, 2009, S5.C.3.d.ii)              |  |    |                          |  |
| 31b. | <u> </u>  |  | 44 |                          |  |
| 31c. |   |  | 4  |                          |  |
|      | to calls:   | (                                      |    |                          |  |
| 32   | Maintained a hotline or other reporting number                                | ~                                      |    |                          |  |
|      | including miles (Pagning & Ly, Echanges,                                      |  |    |                          |  |
|      | 2009; S5.C.3.d.ii)  |  |    |                          |  |
| 32b. | NOTE hotline number in Comments field   |  |    | (253) 931-3048 Ext 5     |  |
| ယ္ပ  | Tracked the number of illicit discharges,                                     | ~                                      |    |                          |  |
|      | including spills, identified? (Required by                                    |  |    | a paramen                |  |
|      | August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e)  |  |    |                          |  |

| Que     | Question   | AN<br>//V/                                   | #  | Comments (50 word limit)   | Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u> |
|---------|--|--|----|--|---|
| 33b.    | Number of illicit discharges identified:                     | 6  | 61 |  |   |
| 34      | Tracked the number of inspections made for                   | 7  |    |  | •   |
| <u></u> | illicit connections? (Required by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e) |  |    |  | :   |
| 34b.    |  |  | 2  |  |   |
| 35      | Received feedback from IDDE public                           | z  |    |  |   |
|         | education efforts? (Required by August 19,                   |  |    |  |   |
|         | 2011, S5.C.3.e)  | ::50:50:                                     |    |  |   |
| 36      | Attached report on IDDE public education                     | ~  |    |  | City of Auburn 2011 IDDE                          |
|         | efforts? (Required by August 19, 2011,                       | an en en en en                               |    |  | Education Summary                                 |
|         | S5.C.3.d, S5.C.3.e)  | Topic type                                   |    |  | •   |
| 37      | Municipal field staff responsible for                        | Υ  |    |  |   |
|         | identification, investigation, termination,                  |  |    |  | . •   |
|         | cleanup, and reporting of illicit discharges,                | Special Section                              |    |  | -   |
|         | improper disposal and illicit connections are                |  |    |  |   |
|         | trained to conduct these activities? (Required               | - Anna                                       |    |  |   |
|         | by August 15, 2009, S5.C.3.f.i)                              | V. A. S. |    |  | • .   |
| 37ъ.    | Number of trainings provided:                                |  | 2  | ,  |   |
| 37c.    | Number of staff trained:                                     |  | ယ  | 3 staff attended both training events  |   |
| ώ<br>⊗  | Provided follow-up training as needed to                     | ΝA   |    | · Proposition of the state of t | -   |
|         | address changes in procedures, techniques or                 | nowing.                                      |    |  |   |
| •       | requirements? (Required by August 15, 2009,                  | v2/28/28/190                                 |    |  |   |
|         | S5.C.3.f.i)  | uta firato                                   |    |  |   |
| 38b.    | Number of trainings provided:                                |  | 0  | The state of the s |   |
| 38c.    | Number of staff trained:                                     |  | 0  |  |   |

| Que  | Question   | AN<br>/N/A | # | Comments (50 word limit)   | Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u> |
|------|--|------------|---|--|---|
| 39   | Developed and implemented an ongoing training program on the identification of an illicit discharge/connection, and on the proper procedures for reporting and responding to the illicit discharge/ connection for all municipal field staff, which, as part of their normal job responsibilities, might come into contact with or otherwise observe an illicit discharge or illicit connection to the storm sewer system? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.3.f.ii.) | ~          |   |  |   |
| 395  | Number of trainings provided:  | 0          |   | - Annual Control of the Control of t |   |
| 39c. |  | 0          |   |  |   |
| 40   | Developed, implemented and enforced a program to reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff to a regulated small MS4 from new development, redevelopment and construction site activities? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4)   | ~          |   |  |   |
| 41   | Applied stormwater runoff program to all sites that disturb a land area 1 acre or greater, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of the development or sale? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4)   | <b>~</b>   |   |  |   |
| 42   | Applied stormwater runoff program to private and public development, including roads? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4)  | <b>~</b>   |   |  |   |

|  | Que | Question  | N X     | # | Comments (50 word limit) | Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable |
|--|-----|---|---------|---|--------------------------|--|
|  | 43  | Applied the Technical Thresholds in Appendix  | ~       |   |                          |  |
|  |     | I to all sites I acre or greater, including   |         |   |                          |  |
|  |     | projects less than one acre that are part of a  |         |   |                          | •  |
|  |     | larger common plan of the development or  |         |   |                          | -  |
|  |     |   |         |   |                          | -  |
|  | 44  | Adopted and implemented regulatory  | Y       |   |                          |  |
|  |     | mechanism (such as an ordinance) necessary to   |         |   |                          |  |
|  |     | address run-off from new development,   |         |   |                          |  |
|  |     | redevelopment and construction site activities?   |         |   |                          |  |
|  |     | (Aregen on by a contamy and Loun, but to all  |         |   |                          |  |
|  | 45  | Retained existing local requirements to apply   | ~       |   |                          |  |
|  |     | stormwater controls at smaller sites or at lower  |         |   |                          |  |
|  |     | thresholds than required pursuant to S5.C.4? (S5.A.4)   |         |   |                          |  |
|  | 4   | The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism  | 4       |   |                          |  |
|  |     | includes the minimum requirements, technical  |         |   |                          |  |
|  |     | thresholds, and definitions in Appendix 1 (or   |         |   |                          |  |
|  |     | an equivalent approved by Ecology under the   |         |   |                          |  |
|  |     | for new development, redevelopment, and   |         |   |                          |  |
|  |     | construction sites? (Required by February 16,   |         |   |                          |  |
|  |     | 20 2 0 9 0 . O . T. 41.1/   |         |   | ·                        | , .  |
| equivalent to those in Appendix 1? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.i., and Section 6 of Appendix 1) | 47  | The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism  | ~       |   |                          | •  |
| by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.i., and Section 6 of Appendix 1)  |     | equivalent to those in Appendix 1? (Required  | <u></u> |   |                          | -  |
|  |     | by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.i., and Section 6 of Annendix 1)   |         |   |                          | ÷  |
|  |     | A of the second |         |   |                          |  |

|   |  | Ä  |  | Page #, if applicable |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------------|
| 48                                      | Were exceptions or variances to the minimum                                | z  | Activity in the second  |                       |
|   | requirements in Appendix 1 granted?  | s Janua  |  |                       |
|   | (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.i.,                               | 2000000  |  |                       |
|   | and Section 6 of Appendix 1)   |  |  |                       |
| 48b.                                    | o. If so, how many were granted?   | 0  |  |                       |
| 49                                      | The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism                               | <b>Y</b>   | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O |                       |
|   | includes a site planning process and BMP                                   | Chinging .   |  |                       |
|   | selection and design criteria that, when used to                           | AM (Society  |  |                       |
|   | implement the minimum requirements in                                      | Associates   |  |                       |
|   | Appendix 1 (or equivalent approved by                                      | eper hilled  |  |                       |
|   | Ecology under the Phase I Permit) will protect                             | in siden side  |  |                       |
|   | water quality, reduce the discharge of                                     | 51,657   |  |                       |
|   | pollutants to the maximum extent practicable                               | 5,42604.   |  |                       |
|   | and satisfy the State requirement under                                    | Economic (National Control of State Cont |  |                       |
|   | Chapter 90.48 RCW to apply all known,                                      | Section 2  |  |                       |
|   | available and reasonable methods of  | Silventin  |  |                       |
| .,,,,                                   | prevention, control and treatment (AKART)                                  | etroviškos   |  |                       |
|   | prior to discharge? (Required by February 16,                              | ve.c4  |  |                       |
|   | 2010, S5.C.4.a.ii)   | och a NATA   |  |                       |
|   |  | Too and a second   |  |                       |
| 49ъ.                                    | b. Cite documentation to meet this requirement in <i>Attachment</i> field: |  |  | Ordinance 6283        |
| 50                                      |  | 7  |  |                       |
|   | provides the legal authority, through the                                  | enadeles.  |  |                       |
|   | approval process for new development, to                                   | Line-Joseph  |  |                       |
|   | inspect private stormwater facilities that                                 |  |  |                       |
|   | discharge to the Permittee's MS4? (Required                                |  |  |                       |
| *************************************** | of a column to, 2010, bo. С. н. а. ш)                                      | a make in the second   |  |                       |

| - Question | stion  | Z Z | # | Comments (50 word limit) | Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u> |
|------------|--|-----|---|--------------------------|---|
| 51         | The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism allows non-structural preventive actions and source reduction approaches such as Low Impact Development (LID) Techniques to minimize the creation of impervious surfaces and minimize the disturbance of native soils and vegetation? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.iv)  | ~   |   |                          |   |
| 52         | If the ordinance or regulatory mechanism allows construction sites to apply the Erosivity Waiver in Appendix 1, Minimum Requirement #2, does it include appropriate, escalating enforcement sanctions for construction sites that provide notice to the Permittee of their intention to apply the waiver but do not meet the requirements (including timeframe restrictions, limits on activities that result in non-stormwater discharges, and implementation of appropriate BMPs to prevent violations of water quality standards) to qualify for the waiver? (If waiver is allowed, the qualification is required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.a.v) | NA  |   |                          |   |

| Que  | Question  | NA. | #   | Comments (50 word limit) | Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable |
|------|---|-----|-----|--------------------------|--|
| 53   | Developed and implemented a permitting process to address runoff from new development, redevelopment and construction site activities with plan review, inspection, and enforcement capability? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b)   | ~   |     |                          |  |
| 54   | Applied permitting process to all sites that disturb a land area 1 acre or greater, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of the development or sale? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, \$5.C.4.b)  | ~   |     |                          |  |
| 55   | Reviewed Stormwater Site Plans for new development and redevelopment projects? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.i)  | ~   |     |                          |  |
| 55b. | Number of site plans reviewed during the reporting period:  |     | 237 |                          |  |
| 56   | Inspected, prior to clearing and construction, all known development sites that have a high potential for sediment transport as determined through plan review based on definitions and requirements in Appendix 7 Determining Construction Site Sediment Potential? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.ii) | ~   |     |                          |  |
| 56b. | Number of qualifying sites inspected prior to clearing and construction during the reporting period:  |     | 9   |                          |  |

| 1                                     |   |  |     |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|-----|--|--|
| Que                                   | Question  | N X                                      | #   | Comments (50 word limit)   | Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable   |
| 57                                    | Inspected construction-phase stormwater                                   | $\prec$                                  |     | **************************************   | -  |
|                                       | controls at all known permitted development                               | <del>,</del>                             |     |  |  |
|                                       | sites during construction to verify proper                                |  |     |  |  |
|                                       | installation and maintenance of required                                  | 2005-017-05                              |     |  | •  |
|                                       | erosion and sediment controls? (Required by                               | 3.4.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2. |     |  |  |
|                                       | February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.iii)  |  |     |  |  |
| 57b.                                  | Number of sites inspected during the                                      |  | 137 |  |  |
|                                       | construction phase for the reporting period:                              |  |     |  |  |
| 58                                    | Enforced as necessary based on the inspection                             | ~  |     |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | at new development and redevelopment                                      | e manie                                  |     |  |  |
|                                       | projects? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.iii)                   | accinic Solo Nes                         |     |  | ٠.   |
| 58b.                                  |   |  | 20  |  |  |
| 2                                     | Inspected disliking permitted development                                 | A  |     |  |  |
|                                       | sites upon completion of construction and prior                           | tast mass                                |     |  |  |
|                                       | to final approval or occupancy to ensure proper                           |  |     |  | .*   |
|                                       | installation of permanent stormwater controls                             |  |     |  |  |
|                                       | such as stormwater facilities and structural                              | an Surt                                  |     |  |  |
|                                       | BMPs? (Required by February 16, 2010,                                     |  |     |  |  |
|                                       | SS.C.+.O.IV and V)  |  |     |  |  |
| 59b.                                  | Number of qualifying sites known during the                               |  | 83  |  | THE PARTY OF THE P |
| 59c.                                  |   |  | 83  |  |  |
| (                                     |   |  | •   |  |  |
| 60                                    | Verified a maintenance plan is completed and                              | ۲  |     | The second secon |  |
|                                       | responsibility for maintenance is assigned for                            |  |     |  |  |
|                                       | qualifying projects? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.iv) |  |     |  |  |
|                                       |   |  |     |  |  |

| δ.   | Question  | AN<br>/N/Y              | # | Comments (50 word limit)   | Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable |
|------|---|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| 61   | Enforced regulations as necessary based on the inspection? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.iv) | Y                       |   | The second secon |  |
| 61b. |   |                         | 2 |  |  |
| 62   | Developed and implemented an enforcement  | <b>Y</b>                |   | THE DESIGNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT |  |
|      | compliance with the regulations for qualifying  | 25.64 Signi (40)        |   |  | -  |
| _    | projects? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.b.vi)  | pe i Wakatu Air taon    |   |  |  |
| 63   | Did the Permittee choose to allow construction  | Z                       |   |  |  |
|      | sites to apply the Erosivity Waiver in  | 2.000.00                |   |  |  |
| _    | Appendix 1, Minimum Requirement #2? (S5.C.4.b.vii)  | Section and the same    |   |  |  |
| 63b. | سا  |                         | 0 |  |  |
| 64   | Developed and implemented a long-term   | ~                       |   | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O |  |
|      | operation and maintenance (0&M) program   | on mendon               |   |  |  |
|      | BMPs? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010,   | de a cross Addres A cor |   |  |  |
| 65   | Adopted an ordinance or other regulatory  | ~                       |   |  |  |
|      | mechanism that clearly identifies the party responsible for maintenance, requires                               | oteo medialis           |   |  |  |
|      | inspection of facilities and establishes  |                         |   |  |  |
|      | enforcement procedures? (Required by February 16, 2010, S.5.C. A.S.)  |                         |   |  | -  |
| 99   | Inspected post-construction stormwater  | ~                       |   |  |  |
|      | controls, including structural BMPs, at new   |                         |   |  | -  |
|      | development and redevelopment projects?   | 4                       |   |  |  |
|      |   |                         |   |  |  |

|   |   | Z :            | :   | Dage # if applicable   |
|---|---|----------------|-----|--|
|   |   | 5              |     | Tage #, II applicable  |
| 66b.                                    |   |                | 885 |  |
|   | period:   |                |     |  |
| 66c.                                    |   |                | 564 |  |
| ^ <del></del>                           | the reporting period:                             |                |     |  |
| 66d.                                    | Number of enforcement actions taken during        |                | 215 |  |
|   | the reporting period:                             |                |     |  |
| 67                                      | Established maintenance standards that are as     | ィ              |     |  |
|   | protective, or more protective, of facility       | inespect       |     |  |
|   | function as those specified in Chapter 4 of       | ender-         |     |  |
|   | Volume V of the 2005 Stormwater                   | depositor      |     |  |
|   | Management Manual for Western                     | agest a silver |     |  |
|   | Washington? (Required by February 16,             | Paris, No. 71  |     | ,  |
|   | 2010, S5.C.4.c.ii)                                | 2022           |     |  |
| 68                                      | Performed timely maintenance as per               | ~              |     |  |
|   | S5.C.4.c.ii? (Required by February 16, 2010,      | 1 5 1 4 7 7 7  |     | <br>•  |
|   | S5.C.4.c.ii)                                      | Tabara         |     |  |
| 68b.                                    | Attached documentation of any maintenance         | AN             |     |  |
|   | delays. (Required by February 16, 2010,           |                |     |  |
|   | S5.C.4.c.ii)                                      |                |     | -  |
| 69                                      | Established program to annually inspect all       | <u></u> ≺      |     |  |
| *************************************** | stormwater treatment and flow control             |                |     | :  |
|   | facilities (other than catch basins) permitted by | hu. 5 · 1 ·    |     | :  |
|   | the Permittee according to S5.C.4.b. unless       |                |     |  |
|   | there are maintenance records to justify a        |                |     | .•   |
|   | different frequency? (Required by February        |                |     |  |
|   | 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c.iii)                           |                |     | . •  |
| 70                                      | If using reduced inspection frequency,            | NA.            |     |  |
|   | Attached documentation as per S5.C.4.c.iii?       |                |     |  |
|   | (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c.iii)     |                |     | <br>. •  |
|   |   |                |     | - A TANKET AND A T |

| Que  | Question   | Y/N/<br>NA | # | Comments (50 word limit)                           | Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable |
|------|--|------------|---|--|--|
| 71   | Inspected all new stormwater treatment and flow control facilities owned or operated, including catch basins, for new residential developments that are a part of a larger common plan of development or sale, every 6 months during the period of heaviest house construction (i.e., 1 to 2 years following subdivision approval) to identify maintenance needs and enforce compliance with maintenance standards as needed? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.c.iv) | AN         |   | No new subdivisions were approved in 2010 or 2011. |  |
| 71b. | Number of facilities inspected during the reporting period:  |            | 0 |  |  |
| 72   | Implemented a procedure for keeping records of inspections and enforcement actions by staff, including inspection reports, warning letters, notices of violations, other enforcement records, maintenance inspections and maintenance activities? ( <i>Required</i> by February 16, 2010, S5.C.4.d)  | ~          |   |  |  |
| 73   | Provided copies of the Notice of Intent for Construction Activity and Notice of Intent for Industrial Activity to representatives of proposed new development and redevelopment? (S5.C.4.e)  | ~          |   |  |  |

| ٤    | & ACCOUNT.  | Z.              | : |  | Page #, <u>if applicable</u> |
|------|---|-----------------|---|--|------------------------------|
| 74   | All staff responsible for implementing the        | ۲               |   |  |                              |
|      | program to control stormwater runoff from         | Włoso.          |   |  | ·                            |
|      | new development, redevelopment, and               | S. Parker       |   |  |                              |
|      | construction sites, including permitting, plan    | n 50 (50 s s in |   |  | :                            |
|      | review, construction site inspections, and        | onesi A         |   |  |                              |
|      | enforcement were trained to conduct these         | /massississ     |   |  |                              |
|      | activities? (Required by February 16, 2010,       | tariha ösö      |   |  | ·                            |
|      | S5.C.4.f)   | constant        |   |  | -                            |
| 74b. | b. Number of trainings provided:                  |                 | 0 |  |                              |
| 74c. | c. Number of staff trained:                       |                 | 0 |  |                              |
| 75   | Developed and implemented an operations and       | Y               |   |  |                              |
|      | maintenance (O&M) program that includes a         | rido-lite       |   |  | •                            |
|      | training component and has the ultimate goal      |                 |   |  |                              |
|      | of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from   | S.J No. res     |   |  |                              |
|      | municipal operations? (Required by February       |                 |   |  |                              |
|      |   |                 |   |  |                              |
| 76   | Adopted maintenance standards as protective,      | ~               |   | the second secon |                              |
|      | or more protective, of facility function as those |                 |   |  |                              |
|      | specified in Chapter 4 of Volume V of the         |                 |   |  |                              |
|      | Western Washington? (Required by February         |                 |   |  | • .                          |
|      | 16, 2010, S5.C.5.a)                               |                 |   |  | •                            |
| 3    | Performed timely maintenance as per               | ~               |   |  |                              |
|      |   |                 |   |  |                              |
| 77b. |   | Ä               |   |  |                              |
|      | S5.C.5.a.ii)                                      |                 |   |  | . •                          |

| Ī    |  |            |      |                                       |  |
|------|--|------------|------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Que  | Question   | A K        | #    | Comments (50 word limit)              | Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable |
| 78   | Established a program to annually inspect and  | ۲          |      |                                       |  |
|      | maintained all stormwater reatment and now control facilities (other than catch basins)? | 544504050  |      |                                       |  |
|      | court mornary (outer man carer casmy):   | V - 55 and |      |                                       |  |
|      | (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.c.iii)  | acchesos e |      | ſ                                     |  |
| 78b. | Number of known facilities:  |            | 163  |                                       |  |
| 78c. | Number of facilities inspected during the  |            | 163  |                                       |  |
|      | reporting period:  |            |      |                                       |  |
| 79   | If using reduced inspection frequency,   | AN         |      |                                       |  |
|      | Attached documentation as per S5.C.5.a.ii?   | ,-1        |      |                                       |  |
|      | (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.b)  |            |      |                                       |  |
| 80   | Conducted spot checks of stormwater facilities   | AN         |      |                                       |  |
|      | after major storms? (Required by February  |            |      |                                       |  |
| 2    |  |            |      |                                       |  |
| 000  | INMITTOEL OF KITOMIT FACILITIES:   |            | 203  | There was a major of the same in 2014 |  |
| ć,   | reporting period:  |            |      |                                       |  |
| 81   | Inspected municipally owned or operated catch  | ~          |      |                                       |  |
| -    | basins at least once before the end of the   |            |      |                                       |  |
|      | Permit term? (Required to begin by February  |            |      |                                       |  |
|      | 16, 2010, S5.C.5.d)  |            |      |                                       |  |
| 81b. | Number of known catch basins:  |            | 6829 |                                       |  |
| 81c. | Number of inspections:   |            | 2096 |                                       |  |
| 81d. | Number of catch basins cleaned:  |            | 554  |                                       |  |

| Question  | <b>-</b>  | N X   | # | Comments (50 word limit) | Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u> |
|---|---|---|---|--------------------------|---|
| Established and implemented practices to reduce stormwater impacts associated with runoff from streets, parking lots, roads or highways owned or maintained by the Permittee, and road maintenance activities conducted by the Permittee? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.f)   | nented practices to acts associated with king lots, roads or intained by the intenance activities ittee? (Required by C.5.f)  | <b>~</b>  |   |                          |   |
| Established and implemented policies and procedures to reduce pollutants in discharges from all lands owned or maintained by the Permittee and subject to this Permit, includin but not limited to: parks, open space, road rig of-way, maintenance yards, and stormwater treatment and flow control facilities?  (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.g) | Established and implemented policies and procedures to reduce pollutants in discharges from all lands owned or maintained by the Permittee and subject to this Permit, including but not limited to: parks, open space, road right of-way, maintenance yards, and stormwater treatment and flow control facilities? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.g) | → Annual 2 to the property of the propert |   |                          |   |
| [O&M] program that includes a training component and has the ultimate goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from municipal operations? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.h.)   | Implemented an operations and maintenance (O&M) program that includes a training component and has the ultimate goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from municipal operations? (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.h.)   | <b>Y</b>  |   |                          | , ,   |
| 84b. Number of trainings provided: 84c. Number of staff trained:  | rovided:  |   |   |                          |   |

| Que  | Question   | NA Y      | #                           | Comments (50 word limit)  | Name of Attachment &<br>Page #, <u>if applicable</u> |
|------|--|-----------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| 58   | Implemented a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for all heavy equipment maintenance or storage yards, and   | ~         |                             |   |  |
|      | material storage facilities owned or operated<br>by the Permittee in areas subject to this Permit<br>that are not required to have coverage under<br>the Industrial Stormwater General Permit? |           |                             |   |  |
|      | (Required by February 16, 2010, S5.C.5.i)  |           |                             |   |  |
| 86   | Is there an approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) applicable to stormwater  | Z         |                             | A TMDL for the Puyallup River watershed was approved in 2011. Compliance with this TMDL |  |
|      | discharges from a MS4s owned or operated by  |           |                             | is not a requirement of this permit cycle.  |  |
| 87   | Connlied with the energific requirements   | NA F      |                             |   |  |
| 3    | identified in Appendix 2? (S7.A)   | Saucipisi |                             | DOT NOT HELD TO   |  |
| 88   | Attached status report of TMDL   | Ā         |                             |   |  |
|      | implementation? (S7.A)   | 88500     |                             |   |  |
| 89   | Where monitoring was required in Appendix  | AN        |                             |   |  |
|      | 2, did you conduct the monitoring according to   |           |                             |   |  |
|      | an approved Quality Assurance Project Plan? (S7.A)   |           |                             |   |  |
| 90   | Took appropriate action to correct or minimize discharges into or from the MS4 which may   | -<        |                             |   |  |
|      | constitute a threat to human health, welfare, or   |           |                             |   |  |
|      | the environment? (G3)  |           |                             |   |  |
| 90Ъ. | Attached a summary of the status of implementation of any actions taken pursuant   | N<br>N    |                             |   |  |
|      | to S4.F and the status of any montioring,  |           |                             |   |  |
|      | during the reporting period? (S4.F.3.d)  |           | 7                           |   |  |
|      |  |           | CHILD SEPTEMBERS ASSESSMENT |   |  |

| 94  | 93   | 92  | 91   | Que  |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Attached a report describing LID practices currently available and that can be reasonably implemented, potential or planned nonstructural actions and LID techniques to prevent stormwater impacts, goals and metrics to identify, promote, measure LID; and schedules to require and implement nonstructureal and LID techniques on a broader scale (Required to be submitted by March 31, 2011, S9.E.4.b) | Attached a summary of identified barriers to the use of low impact development (LID) and measures to address the barriers (Required to be submitted by March 31, 2011, S9.E.4.a) | Notified Ecology immediately in cases where the Permittee becomes aware of a discharge from the Permittees MS4 which may cause or contribute to an imminent threat to human health or the environment? (G3) | Notified Ecology of the failure to comply with the permit terms and conditions within 30 days of becoming aware of the non-compliance? (G20)   | Question                                   |
| ed a report availate are availate artion stormwify, proness to receal and equired 9.E.4.b)  | ed a sum of low i ss to ado itted by   | i Ecolog<br>nittee be<br>Permit<br>Permit<br>tte to an  | l Ecolog<br>nit term<br>ning aw  |  |
| ort desc<br>ble and<br>otential<br>otential<br>ns and I<br>rater imp<br>mote, m<br>puire an<br>LID tec<br>to be s   | mary o<br>mpact d<br>fress the<br>March  | gy imme<br>ecomes<br>tees MS<br>immin   | y of the<br>s and co<br>are of t   |  |
| ribing I that car or plan ID tech Dacts, go easure I d imples hniques abmittee  | f identif<br>evelopr<br>barrier<br>31, 201   | diately aware o s4 which ent three ent? (G  | failure<br>ndition<br>he non-  |  |
| ID prace to be reasoned non miques to bals and ID; and ment no on a brown Ma  | ñed ban<br>nent (Ll<br>s (Requ<br>1, S9.E  | in cases f a disc. h may c at to hu 3)  | to com<br>s within<br>complia  |  |
| tices sonably conably metrics from metrics from metrics from metrics from metrics from metrics from 31,   | tiers to (D) and (ired to .4.a)  | where harge ause or nan   | oly with<br>30 days<br>ince?   |  |
| NA  | NA<br>A  | NA  | NA NA  | N.Y.                                       |
|   |  |   |  | #  |
| This w  | This w   |   |  | Comi                                       |
| as attac  | as attac   |   |  | nents                                      |
| This was attached to the 2010   | This was attached to the 2010  |   |  | Comments (50 word lim                      |
|   |  |   |  | rd limi                                    |
| report.   | report.  |   | THE PARTY OF THE P | <b>(</b> t)                                |
|   |  |   |  |  |
|   |  |   |  | Name<br>Page i                             |
|   |  |   |  | of Atta<br>‡, if ap                        |
|   |  |   |  | Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable |
|   |  |   |  | ie nt &                                    |

# VII. Information Collection, BMP Evaluation, and Monitoring

Complete Part A for all annual reports.

NOTE: Please note in Row 1 of the table if you have no information to report.

Supplemental Documentation attachment and reference it below with the page number. NOTE: Please limit your entries to 255 characters per cell. You may include additional information in your

A. Information Collection

Fecal coliform data was collected from the discharge of Mill Pond. type of information collected and analyzed during the Briefly describe any stormwater monitoring, studies, or reporting period. (S8.B.1) Who/how to contact for additional Chris Thorn (253) 804-5065 information?

# VII. Information Collection, BMP Evaluation, and Monitoring

Complete Part B for all annual reports.

### B. SWMP Evaluation (S8.B & S9)

receiving water bodies. This assessment may be entirely qualitative. Answer **NA** if you are not yet implementing BMPs for a component of the SWMP. (S8.B.2 and S9) evaluation is necessary to evaluate whether the MEP standard set by the permit is protective of water quality in your You are required to assess the appropriateness of the BMPs you have selected to implement your SWMP. This

| δ             | Question   | Y/N/NA   | Comments (50 word limit)   |
|---------------|--|----------|--|
|               | Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Public Outreach  | <b>Y</b> |  |
|               | 1. appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?  Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Public |          |  |
|               | Are the BMFs selected and implemented for Fublic Involvement appropriate to minimize pollutants in the MS4 to  | ~        |  |
| Ы             | the MEP?   |          |  |
|               | Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Illicit Discharge  |          |  |
|               | Detection and Elimination appropriate to minimize pollutants   | <b>≺</b> |  |
| μ             | in the MS4 to the MEP?   |          |  |
|               | Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Construction   |          |  |
| ,             | Stormwater Pollution Prevention appropriate to minimize  | <b>~</b> |  |
| 4,            | pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?  |          |  |
|               | Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Post-  |          |  |
|               | Construction Runoff Management appropriate to minimize   | ~        |  |
| ĊΊ            | pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?  |          |  |
|               | Are the BMPs selected and implemented for Good   |          | And the second s |
|               | Housekeeping for Municipal Operations appropriate to   | <b>≺</b> |  |
| <u>.</u><br>ග | minimize pollutants in the MS4 to the MEP?   |          |  |

### VII. Information Collection, BMP Evaluation, and Monitoring

Complete Part C for all annual reports.

### C. Changes in BMPs or objectives (S8.B)

If any of the BMPs or objectives is being changed, list the old BMP and objective, the new BMP and objective, and a justification for the change below. (S8.B.2., and S9)

NOTE: You may choose to attach additional documentation justifying Changes in BMPs or objectives. Note such attachments in the Justification for change field.

| 12.00 Aug. 1.00 Aug.   | 7 | m) (                                    | , t  | A   | <br>2 | Old BMP                  |
|--|---|---|--|---|-------|--------------------------|
| - Company of the Comp |   |   |  |   |       | Old Objective            |
|  |   | *****                                   | A STATE OF THE STA |   |       | New BMP                  |
|  |   | *************************************** |  |   |       | New Objective            |
| The state of the s |   |   |  | - Attitude |       | Justification for Change |

### City of Auburn 2011 Public Education Summary

| Public Education Activity                               | Target Audience  | Comments   |
|---|--|--|
| City Storm Drainage Web Site                            | General Public   | City website provides general information on the City's storm drainage program, links to the City's SWMP and annual reports and lists the Spill Reporting phone number.  |
| Stormwater Outreach for Regional Municipalities (STORM) | General public   | Auburn participated in this regional public education program. Links between the City Stormwater web page and the "Puget Sound Starts Here" web page were established. PSSH branded information and items were created and distributed.  |
| South King County<br>Stormwater Outreach Group<br>(SOG) | General Public   | Auburn participated with 13 other jurisdictions utilizing grant money to purchase table-top display boards. Auburn created and displayed pollution prevention information using one of the display boards in the City's Customer Service Center.   |
| ECOSS Restaurant Pollution<br>Prevention Program        | Restaurants that perform business activities and/or generate waste that may pollute stormwater | 38 spill kits and plans were provided to restaurants, 39 restaurants received information on recycling, composting and hazardous waste handling, 40 received information on fat's, oil and grease (FOG) BMP's, restaurant owners and managers became more aware of where stormwater goes and how to keep pollutants out of it. |
| Boeing Earth Day Event                                  | Employees at the Boeing<br>Company in Auburn   | Auburn Utilities staffed a display at Boeing Earth Day event and provided information that the Boeing employees could use at home to prevent stormwater pollution, conserve water and reduce their impact on the environment.  |
| Water Festival  | Fourth and fifth grade students  | 215 Auburn students attended Water Festival where they learned about stormwater, pollution prevention, wetlands, salmon, drinking water and sanitary sewer issues through hands on activities and presentations.   |
| Natural Yard Care Workshops                             | Homeowners   | The three workshops were attended by a maximum of 86 people (61 households) from the west hill of Auburn. Attendees learned that they could have beautiful, healthy yards while reducing their dependence on pesticides and fertilizer.  |
| Kid's Day   | School children and their parents  | One day fair where approximately 1,000 children visited the Auburn Utilities booth and learned about stormwater pollution prevention and other water resource information.   |

| Carwash Kit Program / IDDE | Property owners / managers | The City provided a carwash kit on long term loan to a local business where charity car washes occur frequently. Staff at the business were trained on its use. Kit checkout procedures were revised to include |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|
|                            |                            | a process were the City verifies that a kit will  |
|                            |                            | function at a site prior to it being check out for use.   |

### City of Auburn 2011 IDDE Education Summary

| Public Education Activity | Target Audience | Comments   |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--|
| IDDE Response             | Homeowners      | 31 "Rain Drain" postcards were mailed to a neighborhood where evidence of an illicit discharge was detected. No additional |
|                           |                 | evidence of illicit discharges has been noted.   |